## **United States District Court**

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Jonathan James Bowman			Case Number: <u>1:08-cr-00166</u>
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § the detention of the defendant pending trial in thi	3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following s case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descri offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara The offense described in finding (1) was commit or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttal	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described able state or local offenses.  ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state districted since the date of conviction release of the defendant from g (1).  ble presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will sin(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
$\boxtimes$	(1)	Altern. There is probable cause to believe that the defer	ate Findings (A) ndant has committed an offense
X	(2)	☑ for which a maximum term of imprisonment ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions fendant as required and the safety of the community.
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) t appear. danger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II – Written State	ment of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and information sub	mitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
	Defen		contest detention at this time and failing to rebut the presumption of detention
2. [	Defen	dant has been in state custody and would not be r	released in any case. on to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
appeal the Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pe defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opport tates or on request of an attorney for the Governn	ons Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
July 18	3, 200	8	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
Date			Signature of Judge
			Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge  Name and Title of Judge